Richard B. Alsop, Esq. Shearman & Sterling LLP 599 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022

Re: Taro Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.

Schedule TO-I

Filed November 15, 2019 File No. 005-49231

Dear Mr. Alsop:

The staff in the Office of Mergers and Acquisitions has reviewed the filing listed above,

and we have the comments set forth below. All defined terms used herein have the same

meaning as in the Offer to Purchase attached as Exhibit (a)(1)(A) to the Schedule TO-I. In some

of our comments, we may ask you to provide us with information so we may better understand

your disclosure.

Please respond to this letter by amending your filing, by providing the requested

information, or by advising us when you will provide the requested response. If you do not

believe our comments apply to your facts and circumstances or do not believe an amendment is

appropriate, please tell us why in your response.

After reviewing any amendment to your filing and the information you provide in response to these comments, we may have additional comments.

Exhibit (a)(1)(A)

Important, page i

1. Refer to the statement, "We are not making the Offer to, and will not accept any tendered $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}$

shares from, shareholders in any jurisdiction where it would be illegal to do so." While offer

materials need not be disseminated into jurisdictions where it is impermissible to do so,

please revise this statement to remove the implication that tendered shares will not be

accepted from all holders. Refer to Rule 13e-4(f)(8)(i) and Section II.G.1 of Securities

Exchange Act Release No. 58597 (September 19, 2008). Alternatively, please revise the $\,$

statement to limit the restriction to only U.S. states instead of all jurisdictions to conform to

the regulatory text in Rule 13e-4(f)(9)(ii). Please make corresponding changes throughout

the offer to purchase where such language appears.

Conditions of the Tender Offer, page 23

2. Revise your assertion that any determination by you concerning the conditions is "final and

binding upon all persons" to clarify that holders of the shares may challenge Taro's

determinations in a court of competent jurisdiction.

3. In the first paragraph of this section, revise or expand the language "regardless of the $\,$

circumstances giving rise to the event or events" to make clear that all Offer conditions must

be outside the control of the bidder. In the last paragraph in this section, revise the language $\,$

"regardless of the circumstances giving rise to any of these conditions" in the same manner.

4. Refer to the fourth bullet point on page 23. This condition appears to be triggered if there is $\,$

a material change in the U.S. dollar "or any other currency exchange rates." Quantify the

material change (as a percentage) that will trigger the condition. In addition, given the

breadth of the condition involving literally any currency in the world, we

have concerns that

this renders the Offer illusory. Please revise, or provide your analysis supplementally if you do not agree.

5. Refer to the fifth bullet point on page 23. The condition as currently drafted does not contain

a materiality qualifier and does not define "indirectly" as used therein. Please revise so that $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N}}$

shareholders can understand the scope of this condition.

- 6. Refer to the sixth bullet point on page 23. Define or explain what you mean by "the date of the Offer."
- 7. Refer to the eighth bullet point on page 23, in subsection (iii) of that condition. Since it

would appear that individual shareholders could have different ideas of what would be

material "in deciding whether to tender into the Offer," explain how this condition will

operate. Consider whether it is possible to revise the condition to address the specific factors $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

themselves, rather than by referencing broadly to what shareholders would consider material.

8. Refer to the second to last condition on page 24. The inclusion of this condition appears

contradicted by your disclosure on page 32, which states that you are not aware of any

approval that would be required for the acquisition of shares as contemplated by the Offer.

Revise to clarify. To the extent you are aware of any approvals, permits, authorizations, or

and describe the efforts you are making to obtain them.

9. You state that the conditions may be asserted or waived, in whole or in part, at any time in

your reasonable discretion prior to the Expiration Time. This suggests that the issuer may

become aware that an offer condition has been triggered yet the tender offer may proceed

without new disclosure. To the extent the issuer becomes aware of any offer condition

becoming operative in a way that would enable the issuer to terminate the offer or cancel the $\,$

obligation to accept tenders, and the issuer elects to proceed with the offer anyway, we view

that decision as being a waiver of the condition. If a material condition is waived, a material

change has occurred to the offer document within the meaning of Rule 13e-4(c)(3). Please

revise this section to qualify the referenced disclosure by affirming Taro's understanding of

its obligation to disclose material changes.

Incorporation by Reference, page 29

10. With a view toward complying with General Instruction ${\sf F}$ of Schedule TO, and to ensure

liability under the applicable tender offer regulatory provisions of the information

incorporated by reference, please revise the exhibit index to identify the periodic reports

upon which the issuer has relied to satisfy its disclosure obligations. Refer to the

aforementioned Instruction F and to Item 1016(a)(5) of Regulation M-A.

Exhibit (a)(1)(G) Press Release Dated November 15, 2019

11. The safe harbor provisions in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 are, by

their terms, not available for statements made in connection with a tender offer. See

Securities Act Section 27A(b)(2)(C) and Securities Exchange Act Section 21E(b)(2)(C).

Please refrain from making further references to the Act or its safe harbor provisions in any

future press releases or other communications relating to the offer or

future offers. For
 guidance, refer to Section I.M, Q & A No. 2, in the Manual of Publicly
Available Telephone
 Interpretations, Third Supplement, July 2001.

We remind you that the registrant and its management are responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of their disclosures, notwithstanding any review, comments, action or absence of action by the staff.

Please direct any questions to me at (202) 551-7951. You may also contact Tina Chalk, Senior Special Counsel, at (202) 551-3263.

Sincerely,

/s/ Joshua

Shainess

Joshua Shainess Special Counsel Office of Mergers

and Acquisitions